MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

<u>Requestor Name</u> <u>Respondent Name</u>

Nilima Rai, M.D. FedEx Ground Package System, Inc.

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-16-1407-01 Box Number 19

MFDR Date Received

January 26, 2016

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "The injured worker was seen on 02/21/2015 for a Designated Doctor examination to determine MMI/IR and the extent of the compensable injury."

Amount in Dispute: \$500.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Respondent's Position Summary</u>: Division Note: The Division placed a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution request in the insurance carrier's Austin representative box, which was acknowledged as received on February 15, 2016. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(d)(1) requires that:

The response will be deemed timely if received by the division via mail service, personal delivery, or facsimile within 14 calendar days after the date the respondent received the copy of the requestor's dispute. If the division does not receive the response information within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the division may base its decision on the available information.

The insurance carrier did not submit a response for consideration in this dispute. Accordingly, this decision is based on the information available at the time of review.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
February 21, 2015	Designated Doctor Examination	\$500.00	\$500.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §127.1 sets out the procedures for requesting a designated doctor.

- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204 sets out the fee guidelines for division-specific services.
- 4. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
 - 790 This charge was reimbursed in accordance to the Texas Medical Fee Guideline.
 - D96 Denied Non-authorized service
 - P12 Workers' compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment.

Issues

- 1. Is the insurance carrier's reason for denial of payment supported?
- 2. What is the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) for the disputed services?
- 3. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

 The requestor is seeking reimbursement of \$500.00 for a designated doctor examination performed on February 21, 2015 which includes evaluations regarding the injured employee's maximum medical improvement, impairment rating, and the extent of the compensable injury. The insurance carrier denied disputed services with claim adjustment reason code D96 – "NON-AUTHORIZED SERVICE." 28 Texas Administrative Code §127.1(a)states that,

At the request of the insurance carrier, an injured employee, the injured employee's representative, or on its own motion, the division may order a medical examination by a designated doctor to resolve questions about the following:

- (1) the impairment caused by the injured employee's compensable injury;
- (2) the attainment of maximum medical improvement (MMI);
- (3) the extent of the injured employee's compensable injury;
- (4) whether the injured employee's disability is a direct result of the work-related injury;
- (5) the ability of the injured employee to return to work; or
- (6) issues similar to those described by paragraphs (1) (5) of this subsection.

Review of available information finds that the division ordered a medical examination by the requestor to resolve questions about maximum medical improvement, impairment rating, and the extent of the compensable injury. The insurance carrier's denial reason is not supported. The disputed services will therefore be reviewed in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204.

2. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(j)(3), "The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an MMI evaluation... (C) An examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, shall bill using CPT Code 99456. Reimbursement shall be \$350." The submitted documentation supports that the requestor performed an evaluation of Maximum Medical Improvement. Therefore, the correct MAR for this examination is \$350.00.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(j)(4), "The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an IR evaluation. ... (C)(ii) The MAR for musculoskeletal body areas shall be as follows. ... (II) If full physical evaluation, with range of motion, is performed: (-a-) \$300 for the first musculoskeletal body area." The submitted documentation supports that the requestor provided an impairment rating, which included a musculoskeletal body part, and performed a full physical evaluation with range of motion of the cervical spine. Therefore, the correct MAR for this examination is \$300.00.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(k),

The following shall apply to Return to Work (RTW) and/or Evaluation of Medical Care (EMC) Examinations. When conducting a Division or insurance carrier requested RTW/EMC examination, the examining doctor shall bill and be reimbursed using CPT Code 99456 with modifier 'RE.' In either instance of whether MMI/IR is performed or not, the reimbursement shall be \$500 in accordance with subsection (i) of this section and shall include Division-required reports. Testing that is required shall be billed using the appropriate CPT codes and reimbursed in addition to the examination fee.

The submitted documentation indicates that the Designated Doctor performed an examination to determine the extent of the compensable injury. Therefore, the correct MAR for this examination is \$500.00.

3. The total MAR for the disputed services is \$1150.00. The insurance carrier paid \$650.00. An additional reimbursement of \$500.00 is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$500.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services in dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$500.00 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code \$134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

	Laurie Garnes	April 6, 2016	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.